





## Multi-Species Swards Science & Practice

### 28<sup>th</sup> June 2023



Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs

www.daera-ni.gov.uk



The European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development: Europe investing in rural areas





#### STEVEN SPIELBERG Presents



He was never in time for his classes ...

He wasn't in time for his dinner...

Then one day... he wasn't in his time at all.

## Multi-Species Swards an old technology for a new challenge?

## Experiment carried out on a farm in County Down in 1914 on 1 acre sown out with:

Species	Rate (Ib)	Rate (kgs)
Perennial Ryegrass	28	12.7
Cocksfoot	4	1.8
Timothy	4	1.8
Fescue	5	1.4
Meadow Fox Tail	3	1.4
Perennial Red (Red Clover)	3	1.4
Wild White Clover	4	1.8
Total	51	23.1

Species	Rate (lb)	Rate (kgs)
Perennial Ryegrass	50	22.7
Red Clover (Crimson Clover)	4	1.8
Total	54	24.5

Cost 43/0 £203.89 (in today's money) Cost 14/6 £68.75 (in today's money)



	Multi Mix	PRG & RC	Difference
Yield of Hay in 1915	56 cwt - 1 qtr - 0 lb (2.86t)	44 cwt - 0 qtr – 14 lb (2.24t)	12 cwt - 0 qtr - 16 lb (0.62t) 27.5%
Sheep Liveweight Gain			
1915 aftermaths	125 lb	116 lb	9 lb
1916 grazing	420 lb	298 lb	122 lb
1917 grazing	707 lb	444 lb	263 lb
1918 grazing	374 lb	204 lb	170 lb
Total weight of mutton	1626 lb (738kg)	1062 lb (482 kg)	564 lb (256kg) 53.1%
1919 Field ploughed & sown with oats			
Yield of grain	33 cwt (1.68t)	26 cwt (1.32t)	7 cwt (0.36t) 26.9%
Yield of straw	35 cwt (1.78t)	20 cwt – 3 qtr – 21 lb (1.06t)	14 cwt – 0 qtr – 2lb (0.71t) 66.8%



	Units	Price per Unit s/d	Total £-s-d
Difference in mutton value	564 lb	0/9 per lb (£7.84/kg today)	£9-8-0
Difference in hay crop	12 cwt - 0 qtr - 16 lb	3/0 per cwt (£278/t today)	£1-16-5
Difference in grain	7 cwt	16/3 per cwt (£1,526/t today)	£5-13-9
Difference in straw	14 cwt	5/0 per cwt (£467/t today) [£70 4x4 round bale)	£3-10-0
Total Difference			£20-8-2 (£1,935 today)
Difference in Cost of Seed			£1-8-6
Net benefit of "good" mixture			£18-19-8 (£1,800 today)

Benefit seen over 5 years so value of land was increased by £3-15-11 per acre (£360 today)



## Strength in Diversity

- Charles Darwin identified overyielding Darwin & Wallace (1858)
- Like heterosis in breeding the yield of a multi-species sward is greater than the average of the species when grown as a mono-culture.
- However, with the advent of the modern perennial ryegrasses & modern fertilisers nothing could keep up with them.
- PRG became dominant





# So why even consider moving away from PRG monocultures or PRG & White Clover?

- PRG is a thoroughbred, it needs fed & watered
  - Increasing cost of manufactured fertiliser
  - Increased incidence of more extreme weather events
- PRG gets easily stressed, lowering grass quality
- A monoculture can be a green desert
- Biodiversity brings resilience

Optimisation / resilience versus maximisation



## Acknowledgements

• The SUPER-G Horizon 2020 project for starting us on this journey

- DAERA & EU / EAFRD for funding this project
- Our six farmers for their unbridled enthusiasm and commitment and taking a leap of faith with us.
- AFBI & QUB Colleagues



www.daera-ni.gov.uk



The European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development: Europe investing in rural areas







#### Multispecies Swards as a Biological Tool to Enhance Sustainability of Ruminant Production Systems

Associate Professor Helen Sheridan School of Agriculture and Food Science, UCD. helen.sheridan@ucd.ie





- 1-9 species from 3 functional groups (included in different proportions)
- Four levels of N: 0, 45, 90, 135 kg N/ha/yr
- Conventional control: PRG 250 kg N/ha/yr
- DM yield, species persistence, invertebrate diversity







Grace (2018)

### DM yield stability – 2014



### DM yield stability - 2015



### DM yield stability - 2016

#### PRG yield dropped from 11 t/ha to 9 t/ha



#### Estimated impact on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

"Tier 2" EF (CAN 1.49%; Urea 0.25%)\* 0.2 **N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (kg N emmitted as N<sub>2</sub>O/T DM/ha/yr)** 0.14 0.05 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.06 0 PRG90 PRG250 COMPLEX90 PRG+WC90 SIMPLE90

Murphy et al., 2018

\* Harty, M. A., Forrestal, P. J., Watson, C. J., McGeough, K. L., Carolan, R., Elliot, C., ... & Lanigan, G. J. (2016). Reducing nitrous oxide emissions by changing N fertiliser use from calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) to urea based formulations. Science of The Total Environment, 563, 576-586.

#### Earthworm surface casts



Water infiltration rates





#### Relationship between earthworm casts and water infiltration



Shnel et al. (2021)



#### Farmlet Experiment at UCD Lyons Farm

- 4 sward types, randomised and replicated 2015 & 2016
- 30 twin suckling ewes/treatment @ stocking rate of 12.5 ewes/ha repeated over 2 years
- Rotational grazed 5 paddocks/farmlet
  - PRG @ 163 kg N/ha/yr
  - PRG & WC @ 90 kg N/ha/yr
  - 6 species (2 x grasses + 2 x legumes + 2 x herbs) @90 kg
    N/ha/yr
  - 9 species (3 x grasses + 3 x legumes + 3 x herbs) @90 kg
    N/ha/yr

#### The effect of sward type on lamb weaning weights





rass

Biodiversity for production





## The effect of sward type on number of days required to reach target slaughter weight



P < 0.05

Grace et al., 2019



# The effect of swards type on mean number of anthelmintic treatments required





### UCD Lyons Long Term Grazing Platform

- 3 farmlets established 2019 (8ha each)
  - PRG 205 kg N/ha
  - PRG and white clover 90 kg N/ha
  - MSS PRG, Timothy, white clover, red clover, chicory and ribwort plantain 90 kg N/ha
- Stocked @ 2.5 LU/ha dairy calf to beef
- Target
  - PGHM 1200 1800 kg DM/ha
  - PGSH 4cm v's 6cm MSS







## Sowing rates kg/ha



	PRG	PRGWC	MSS
PRG	37	33	13
Phleum pratense			3
Trifolium repens		5	5
Trifolium pratense			10
Cichorium intybus			3
Plantago lanceolata			3

PRG = AberGain & AberChoice; P. pratense = Presto & Winnetau; T. repens = Buddy & AberHerald; T. pratense = AberChianti & AberClaret; C. intybus = Puna II & Choice; P. lanceolata = Tonic & AgriTonic



### The impact of sward type on dry-matter production



Baker et al., 2023

### Contribution of functional groups to yield 2020-2021



The sown species proportions of 2020 & 2021 compared to the actual sowing rate of each species in 2019.



# The impact of sward type on animal liveweight at key stages of the production cycle

Stage of Production Cycle	PRG	PRGWC	MSS	SEM
Turnout weight (kg) first season	136	136	136	2.81
Housing date (kg) first winter	230	239	241	4.03
Turnout weight (kg) second season	321ª	358 <sup>b</sup>	369 <sup>b</sup>	5.55
Housing date (kg) second winter	<b>495</b> <sup>a</sup>	536 <sup>b</sup>	551 <sup>b</sup>	4.61
Slaughter weight (kg)	629	629	629	5.32

P<0.05





## The impact of sward type on animal slaughter parameters



Slaughter parameter	PRG	PRGWC	MSS	SEM
Carcass weight (kg)	314	314	313	2.83
Kill out percentage (%)	51	51	51	0.21
Days to slaughter	<b>524</b> <sup>a</sup>	494 <sup>b</sup>	490 <sup>b</sup>	5.55

Compared to PRG animals' grazing ryegrass plus white clover or the multispecies sward reached slaughter weight at a younger age



Boland et al., 2022

Biodicersity for Prod

P<0.05

ARTSH

### The effect of sward type on CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kg of beef liveweight

DUB



#### The effect of sward type on gross margin and net margin ha<sup>-1</sup>





## Muscle fatty acid profile

	PRG	PRG+WC	MS		
FA	(n= 20)	(n=20)	(n=20)	SEM	p-value
C16:0	28.0	28.7	27.6	0.470	0.264
C16:1	4.4 <sup>a</sup>	4.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.139	<0.001
C18:0	14.0	14.3	15.1	0.318	0.052
C18:1 <i>n-</i> 9c	38.7ª	36.4 <sup>b</sup>	35.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.590	<0.001
C18:2 <i>n-</i> 6 <i>c</i>	3.6 <sup>b</sup>	4.3 <sup>b</sup>	5.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.309	<0.001
C18:3 <i>n-</i> 3	0.8 <sup>c</sup>	1.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.6ª	0.057	<0.001
C18:2 <i>c</i> 9 <i>t</i> 11	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.014	0.152
C20:2	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.005	<0.001
C20:3 <i>n-</i> 6	0.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.5ª	0.039	0.003
SFA	45.8	47 0	46 4	0.627	0.391
MUFA	45.7ª	42.8 <sup>b</sup>	40.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.600	<0.001
PUFA	8.6 <sup>b</sup>	10.3 <sup>b</sup>	12.8ª	0.719	0.001
PUFA : SFA	0.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.2 <sup>ab</sup>	0.3ª	0.018	0.003
<i>n</i> -6	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.5 <sup>b</sup>	8.5ª	0.499	0.001
n-3	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 <sup>ab</sup>	3.7ª	0.213	0.002
<i>n-</i> 6 : <i>n-</i> 3	2.2 <sup>ab</sup>	2.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.3ª	0.039	0.001
HP-PUFA	4.5 <sup>b</sup>	5.3 <sup>ab</sup>	6.2ª	0.405	0.014

Impact of sward type on muscle fatty acid profile of beef

PRG = perennial ryegrass; PRG + WC = perennial ryegrass + white clover; MS = mixed sward.

SEM = pooled standard error of the means.

a,b,c different letters within a row indicate a significant difference (P<0.05).

Kearns et al., 2023

C18:2*n*6*c* C18:3*n*3 PUFAs HP-PUFAs *n*-6 FA *n*-3 FA PUFA : SFA *n*-6 : *n*-3 HP-PUFA

# The effect of sward type on forage dry matter intake



McCarthy et al., 2021

## The effect of sward type on kg fat and protein



McCarthy et al., 2021



- 4 sward types
  - Perennial ryegrass (170 kg N/ha/yr)
  - Permanent pasture (135 kg N/ha/yr)
  - 6 species mix PRG, Timothy, white & red clover, chicory, ribwort plantain (70 kg N ha/yr
  - 12 species mix 6 species mix + cocksfoot, birdsfoot trefoil, sainfoin, yarrow, salad burnet and sheep's parsley (70 kg N/ha/yr)
- Co-grazed cattle & sheep
  - PGHM 1500 v's 2500 kg DM/ha
  - PGSH 4cm v's 6cm





#### The effect of sward type on annual DM production



- PP had lower annual DM production compared to all other sward types (P<0.05).
- PRG had lower annual DM production to the 6S (P<0.05).
- Annual DM production from the 6S and 12S did not differ.

Shackleton et al., 2022



#### The effect of sward type on lamb ADG from turnout to slaughter



#### Lamb ADG from turnout to slaugther



Lambs grazing the 6 SP and 12SP had a 30% and 21% increased ADG respectively compared to the PRG and the PP.

(Beaucarne et al., 2022)

#### The effect of sward type on heifer ADG from turnout to slaughter



Heifer ADG from turnout to slaugther



Heifers grazing the 6SP had a 17% greater ADG compared to the PRG and PP swards and 10% greater than the heifers grazing the 12SP.

(Beaucarne et al., 2022)

### Findings:

- Increased herbage DM production
- Decreased fertilser N ha-1
- Enhanced animal performance
- Improved animal health
- Reduced GHG emissions
- Indications of enhanced biodiversity
- Enhanced economic performance



### Challenges:

- Management
- Herb persistence sward rejuvenation
  - Establishment within existing permanent grassland
- Weed control
- Possibility of bloat



#### **UCD Lyons Long Term Grazing Platform**



Prof. Tommy Boland, Dr Bridget Lynch, Dr Alan Kelly, Dr Paul Murphy, Prof. Olaf Schmidt, Prof. Alex Evans, Dr Rochelle Fritch, Dr Saoirse Tracy, Dr Cornelia Grace, Dr Jean Kennedy, Dr Shona Baker, Fionnuala Godwin, Asaf Shnel, Jane Shackleton, Gaspard Beaucarne Dr Kate McCarthy, Prof. Frank Monahan.

**\*** 

DUBLIN









OLLSCOIL TEICNEOLAÍOCHTA BHAILE ÁTHA CLIATH













DUB















Invertebrate diversity

Plant diversity

## Multi4More

Multisite experiment Promoting sustainable and efficient agricultural practices that enhance the productivity of Irish agriculture

Knowledge transfer

Fertiliser

effects

Ovine grazing experiment Legacy effects

Ŵ





Sustainability at the heart of a living, working, active landscape valued by everyone

















## Multi-Species Swards for Beef and Sheep

A European Innovation Partnership (EIP) Project



## EIP - Agri

- Designed to bring farmers, researchers and advisors together to help NI farmers innovate and address specific opportunities and challenges
- Jointly funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development and DAERA
- Seven projects were funded of which 'MSS for Beef and Sheep' was one
- Started November 2020 & concludes June 2023





## **Project Group**

- Dale Orr Strangford, Co. Down
- Sam Chesney Kircubbin, Co. Down
- Paul Turley Downpatrick, Co. Down
- Roger & Hilary Bell Kells, Co. Antrim
- Crosby Cleland Saintfield, Co. Down
- Andrew Clarke/Wayne Acheson Cookstown, Co. Tyrone



- AFBI Dr David Patterson, Dr Francis Lively and Dr Denise Lowe
- QUB Prof Nigel Scollan & Prof Mark Emmerson
- AgriSearch Project Lead



## **Project Aims**

- To investigate the feasibility and practicality of incorporating multi-species swards on Northern Ireland commercial beef and sheep farms
- Significantly increase the knowledge of MSS establishment, management and use specific to Northern Ireland
- To assess impact of MSS incorporation on animal performance and the wider environment
- To determine prospects for success of widespread MSS incorporation on NI farms
- Share all project activity and results





## **Project Activity - Establishment**



#### 2021 - MSS established

- 37ha (92ac) across 20 fields
- Variety of establishment methods including stale seed bed method and stitching in
- Wide range of seed mixes selected 6 species up to 18 species











Chicory



Grass Clover

Plantain

5

## **Project Activity - Establishment**

#### <u>Grasses</u>

- PRG
- Cocksfoot
- Timothy
- Meadow
  Fescue
- Tall Fescue

#### Legumes

- White Clover
- Red Clover
- Alsike Clover
- Sweet Clover
- Sainfoin
- Birdsfoot trefoil

#### <u>Herbs</u>

- Plantain
- Chicory
- Burnet
- Yarrow
- Sheeps parsley
- Ribgrass Forage Herb
- Knapweed Wildflower



## **Project Activity – Sward Management**

#### Fertiliser

• All farms reduced their use of chemical Nitrogen significantly or entirely

#### Production

- Total production comparable to PRG swards
- Flatter growth curve across the season
- Coped well in drought conditions

#### **Grazing regimes**

- Some trial and error was required
- Extended rotation length and higher residuals vs PRG swards





## **Project Activity – Animal Performance**

- Reduced need for Anthelmintics observed on some farms
- Mixed results Best when a batch grazed exclusively on MSS
  - Comparable DLWG with PRG Swards across the grazing season

	Cattle DLWG/kg	Cattle DLWG/kg
	PRG	MSS
21/3 – 31/05	0.82	0.6
31/05 – 12/07	0.8	0.85
12/07 – 30/08	0.65	0.63
30/08 – 21/09	0.185	0.67
2022 Full Season		
Average	0.70	0.68

## **Project Activity – Animal Performance**

- Reduced need for Anthelmintics observed on some farms
- Mixed results Best when a batch grazed exclusively on MSS
  - Comparable DLWG with PRG Swards across the grazing season

	MSS Lambs	PRG&WC Lambs
First 4 Weeks – DLWG	0.376 kg per day	0.304 kg per day
First 8 Weeks – DLWG	0.310 kg per day	0.280 kg per day
Average Slaughter Weight	42.86 kg	42.96 kg
Average Carcase Weight	19.95 kg	19.50 kg
Average Slaughter Age	167 days	196 days

## **Project Activity – Soil and Biodiversity**

- All participants noted obvious increased insect and bird activity
- Soil structure has improved in many cases

 Soil sampled in 2021 and 2023 no notable changes to date across a range of trace elements



## **Project Activity – Dissemination**

- 3 x Farm Walks
  - Basics & Establishment
  - Sward Management
  - Animal Management & performance
- Private Farm Visits
- Webinar
  - Farmer Perspective Establishment and Grazing
- Videos YouTube
- Social Media Updates
- Study Tour
- Articles Farming Press



## **Project Outcomes**





- A huge amount of knowledge gained and shared
- The formation of the group has been invaluable for farmer support during the project
- No one size fits all need to make choices suitable for your farming business
- Patience and a willingness to adapt required
- Research gaps still exist



# AgriSearch Driving Excellence & Innovation